1	MILES EHRLICH (Bar No. 237954) miles@ramsey-ehrlich.com KATHARINE KATES (Bar No. 155534)		
2	KATHARINE KATES (Bar No. 155534)		
3	katharine@ramsey-ehrlich.com RAMSEY & EHRLICH, LLP		
4	803 Hearst Avenue Berkeley, CA 94710		
5	Telephone: (510) 548-3600		
6	Attorneys for Defendant Mohammed Nuru		
7	TANKED OF A TEC DICTEDICT COLUDE		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Case No.: 3:21-CR-00490 WHO	
12	Plaintiff,	) DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO	
13	V.	REMOVE INCORRECTLY FILED DOCUMENT AND [PROPOSED]	
14	MOHAMMED NURU,	ORDER	
	, ,	}	
15	Defendant.	) Hon. William H. Orrick	
16			
17		{	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	Defendant Mahammed Nuru brings this	y Motion to Remove on Incorrectly Filed	
24	Defendant Mohammed Nuru brings this Motion to Remove an Incorrectly Filed		
25	Document. The defense respectfully requests that the Court remove Docket No. 112-4 from		
26	the Docket in this matter. A corrected version of that docket entry has been filed at Docket		
	No. 116-1.		
27			
28	MOTION TO REMOVE INCORRECTLY FILED DOCUMENT AND [PRO United States v. Nuru Case No. 3:21-CR-00490-001 WHO	posed] Order	
I	I .	1	

1 The defense makes this request because Docket No. 112-4 contains a letter in support 2 of Defendant Mohammed Nuru that was mistakenly filed. That letter is at Dkt. No. 112-4, 3 MNURU 0058, and is part of Exhibit D to Mohammed Nuru's Sentencing Memorandum and Motion for Downward Variance. If the letter is not removed from the docket, it will have 4 5 negative employment consequences for the author of that letter, Mrs. DiJaida Durden. Mrs. Durden is employed as a Deputy Director of the Department of Public Works. 6 Mrs. Durden submitted that letter in her personal capacity—not her professional capacity as a 7 Public Works employee. That letter was mistakenly submitted on the Department of Public 8 9 Works letterhead. But she did not intend this to reflect the opinion of the Department of Public Works, it reflects only her personal opinion. It should not have been submitted on 10 11 Department of Public Works letterhead. This was an error. 12 Mrs. Durden has corrected this mistake. Defense counsel filed a Notice of Errata at 13 Docket No. 116, with the corrected Exhibit D, with a letter from Mrs. Durden in her personal capacity at MNURU 0058. 14 The defense respectfully requests that Docket No. 112-4 be removed from the docket 15 to avoid any negative employment consequences for Mrs. Durden that will result from this 16 erronesouly filed document. 17 DATED: August 25, 2022 18 19 Respectfully submitted, 20 <u>/s/ Katharine A. Kates</u> 21 KATHARINE A. KATES MILES EHRLICH 22 23 Attorneys for Defendant Mohammed Nuru 24 25 26 27 28 MOTION TO REMOVE INCORRECTLY FILED DOCUMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER United States v. Nuru

Case No. 3:21-CR-00490-001 WHO

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	Good cause having been shown, Docket No. 112-4 shall be removed from the docket in this
3	matter.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED
5	
6	DATED:
7	DATED
8	
9	HON. WILLIAM H. ORRICK
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	MOTION TO REMOVE INCORRECTLY FILED DOCUMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  United States v. Nuru  Case No. 3:21-CR-00490-001 WHO